POTENTIAL OPTIONS TO IMPROVE TRAFFIC FLOW AND REDUCE AIR QUALITY PROBLEMS IN ROTTINGDEAN/HIGH STREET

KEY

* = options suggested by Rottingdean Parish Council.

NOTE

- Modelling, consultation and monitoring, of varying scales and form and cost (that will be dependent on any particular, proposed measure) would be a pre-requisite and integral part of the standard approach to the development of any transport options, in advance of identifying and agreeing a preferred scheme.
- Some options below could be categorised under more than one heading.

Possible	Estimated	Summary Commentary	Indicative			
Measure/Intervention	Cost Range		Timescale			
	(£'000)		(Feasibility			
			to Delivery)			
1. LOCAL TRAFFIC MANA	1. LOCAL TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT					
Existing two-way traffic with	10-50	• Length of area(s) to be kept clear within High Street are unspecified but could include West	1 year			
chicane/priority working at		Street to the St Aubyn's site), and Steyning Road to Park Road.				
narrowest point*		Potential to reduce idling vehicles in immediate 'canyon' area where some ground floor				
		residences are close to vehicle emissions.				
		May disperse emissions to other parts of the village outside the AQMA where traffic				
		emissions and poor air quality are not identified as an issue.				
One-way traffic in High	50-100	Northbound or southbound has been suggested.	1-2 years			
Street (south of Steyning		Would reduce traffic volumes and emissions in High Street AQMA and move traffic further				
Road)*		from residential frontages.				
		Could also allow widened pavements.				
		• Suitable, alternative routes would be required for drivers travelling in the opposite direction.				
Low Emission Zone [LEZ] in	10-20	Similar to the approach applied in North Street, Brighton, which is for buses.	1 year			
High Street		Could be applied to certain vehicles/engine types e.g diesel cars, or times of the day.				
		Requires significant/widespread awareness levels amongst road-users.				

Possible Measure/Intervention	Estimated Cost Range (£'000)	Summary Commentary	Indicative Timescale (Feasibility to Delivery)
Pedestrianisation of High Street*	100-250	 Could be partial or full closure to traffic. Could be controlled by timed restrictions e.g George Street, Hove. Would result in significant re-routeing of some journeys and require suitable, alternative routes to be designated. May require additional measures over a wider area. 	2-3 years
Enforcement of access restrictions for HGVs	0	 Existing legal restrictions are in place. Signing has been checked and is correct. Existing air quality issues are not directly linked with HGV traffic as diesel vans and cars are the main contributors in Rottingdean High Street. Some HGVs need access to the village. Enforcement of moving traffic offences is dealt with by the Police. 	1 month
2. DEMAND MANAGEM Removal of parking in centre of village	10-20	 Could result in reduction of local traffic, but not through traffic. Could affect some village trade. May be required in association with other measures to improve traffic flow in some roads or to reduce the overall attraction of village to car-borne movements. Could result in displacement of parking to other streets where air quality is good. 	1 year
3. BEHAVIOUR CHANGE Campaign to reduce local traffic movements in High Street	10-100	 Needs detailed baseline information to determine and understand current behaviour/activity. Would require wide promotion and awareness campaign. Would require promotion and provision of adequate and convenient alternative forms of transport to the car. 	1-2 years
Campaign to reduce traffic movements in High Street generated from ESCC/LDC area e.g Peacehaven and Newhaven.	10-100	 Requires baseline information from wider area to determine current behaviour/activity. Requires wide promotion and awareness campaign. Requires formalised input from consultees and respondents to future development proposals through the planning process. 	1-2 years with long- term monitoring

Possible Measure/Intervention	Estimated Cost Range (£'000)	Summary Commentary	Indicative Timescale (Feasibility to Delivery)
Citywide Traffic Network Management Strategy [TNMS]	10-20	• In 2015, ET&S Committee agreed to the development of a TNMS. Preliminary work is underway. It will consider a number of issues that ensure the efficient and safe operation of the road network.	1-2 years
4. ADDITIONAL INFRAST	RUCTURE/INC	REASED NETWORK CAPACITY	
Introduce electric vehicle charging points	20-40	• In 2015, the ET&S Committee agreed to upgrade and expand the existing infrastructure, and a 3-year contract has recently been signed with Charge Your Car. Requests for possible sites will be considered as part of a wider assessment for the expansion of the local network.	1 year
Increase capacity of A259/High Street junction	500-1,000	 Could include optimised movement of traffic and people movement using current layout and new technology. Limited opportunities for physical widening on junction approaches. Could require major changes and loss of property adjacent to junction. 	3-4 years
Local/strategic bypass	5,000- 15,000	 Significant proposal which could remove high volumes of through traffic from the High Street and wider, local road network. No routes have been suggested. Any route would be likely to be adjacent to, or within, the South Downs National Park and therefore be in conflict with the protected status of the Park. A full Business Case would be required. A Planning public inquiry would be likely. Additional local road capacity could increase use/ownership of cars and create additional, local or wider congestion and emissions, and/or increase opportunities for more development. A link to the A27 would require Highways England (and possibly East Sussex CC) involvement. Limited funding opportunities are available. As a local example, the recently opened ESCC Bexhill/Hastings Link Road [BHLR] is 3.5 miles long and cost £125 million. (Rottingdean to Falmer is approx. 5 miles)). The timeline for the ESCC BHLR was a) consultation-2004; b) planning permission-2008; c) funding secured-2009/10; d) work started-2012; e) road opened-2015. 	5-10 years